

1 James C. Sturdevant, State Bar No. 94551  
(jsturdevant@sturdevantlaw.com)  
2 Monique Olivier, State Bar No. 190385  
(molivier@sturdevantlaw.com)  
3 Whitney Huston, State Bar No. 234863  
(whuston@sturdevantlaw.com)  
4 THE STURDEVANT LAW FIRM  
A Professional Corporation  
354 Pine Street, Fourth Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
5 Telephone: (415) 477-2410  
Facsimile: (415) 477-2420

6 Debra Smith, State Bar No. 147863  
(dsmith@equalrights.org)  
7 Noreen Farrell, State Bar No. 191600  
(nfarrell@equalrights.org)  
8 Lisa Leebove, State Bar No. 186705  
(lleebove@equalrights.org)  
9 EQUAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES  
1663 Mission Street, Suite 250  
San Francisco, CA 94103  
10 Telephone: (415) 621-0672  
Facsimile: (415) 621-6744

11 Kristen Galles, State Bar No. 148740  
(kgalles@comcast.net)  
12 EQUITY LEGAL  
10 Rosecrest Avenue  
13 Alexandria, VA 22301  
Telephone: (703) 683-4491

14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

15 PORTER SCOTT  
A Professional Corporation  
16 Nancy J. Sheehan, State Bar No. 109419  
(nsheehan@porterscott.com)  
17 350 University Avenue, Suite 200  
Sacramento, California 95825  
18 Telephone: (916) 929-1481  
Facsimile: (916) 927-3706

19 Attorneys for Defendant

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
21 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

22 **KELSEY BRUST, et al.,**  
23 **Plaintiffs,**  
24 **vs.**  
25 **REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF**  
**CALIFORNIA,**  
26 **Defendant.**

**CASE NO. 2:07-CV-01488-FCD-EFB**  
**CLASS ACTION**  
**STIPULATED JUDGMENT AND ORDER**

1 This Stipulated Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiffs Kelsey Brust (“**Brust**”),  
2 Jessica Bulala (“**Bulala**”), and Laura Ludwig (“**Ludwig**”) (collectively, “**Plaintiffs**”), individually and  
3 as representatives of the Class (as defined below), on the one hand, and The Regents of the University of  
4 California (“**Regents**” or “**Defendant**”), on the other, for conduct relating to the University of California at  
5 Davis (“**UCD**”).

6 **I. INTRODUCTION**

7 **A.** On July 24, 2007, Plaintiffs filed a proposed class action complaint against Defendant  
8 Regents, and individual defendants UCD Chancellor Larry Vanderhoef and UCD Athletic Director Greg  
9 Warzecka (collectively “**Defendants**”) in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of  
10 California, alleging violations of: (1) the Equal Athletic Participation Opportunity requirements of Title  
11 IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*) (“Title IX”); (2) the Fourteenth  
12 Amendment to the United States Constitution, as enforced through 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (“§ 1983”); (3) the  
13 Unruh Civil Rights Act of California state law (Cal. Civ. Code § 51 *et seq.*); and (4) California public  
14 policy (“**the Action**”).

15 **B.** On October 26, 2007, Defendants moved to dismiss the Action pursuant to Federal Rules  
16 of Civil Procedure, rule 12(b)(6). On December 12, 2007, the Court granted Defendants’ motion in part,  
17 dismissing Plaintiffs’ § 1983 claim and their state law claims, and dismissing individual defendants  
18 Vanderhoef and Warzecka.

19 **C.** On August 14, 2008, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Class Certification. On October 24,  
20 2008, the Court entered an Order certifying the following class (“the Class”):

21 All present, prospective, and future women students at the University of California at Davis who  
22 seek to participate in and/or who are deterred from participating in intercollegiate athletics at the  
University of California at Davis.

23 Plaintiffs were appointed class representatives and Plaintiffs’ Counsel was appointed as Class  
24 Counsel.

25 **D.** The lawsuit has been vigorously prosecuted and defended and has included significant  
26 discovery and motion practice.

27 **E.** On January 30th, and again on February 25th 2009, the Parties held two mediation  
28

1 sessions conducted by Michael Dickstein of Dickstein Dispute Resolution. Following these two  
2 mediations sessions, the parties had numerous additional conversations and negotiations regarding  
3 settlement, which were also conducted by Michael Dickstein. At the conclusion of these sessions and  
4 conversations, the Parties agreed to settle this Action.

5 **F.** Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs’ Counsel (as defined below) have agreed to settle this Action,  
6 pursuant to the provisions of this Stipulated Judgment, considering, among other things: (1) the  
7 substantial benefits available to Plaintiffs and the Class under the terms of this Stipulated Judgment;  
8 (2) the attendant risks and uncertainties of litigation, especially in complex litigation such as this Action  
9 as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation; and (3) the desirability of consummating  
10 this Stipulated Judgment promptly to provide effective relief to the Class.

11 **G.** The fact that the Regents has entered into this Stipulated Judgment is not an admission of  
12 liability or an admission that it is not or has not been in compliance with Title IX. The terms of the  
13 settlement apply only to the University of California at Davis and should not be used as precedent for  
14 Defendant’s other schools or campuses.

15 **H.** This matter involves contested issues of fact and liability and there has been no  
16 adjudication by the Court of the claims asserted, nor finding of fact made. The Stipulated Judgment is  
17 entered into solely for the purpose of allowing the Court to maintain jurisdiction over the case for the  
18 time periods specified herein.

19 **I.** The Parties make the promises contained in this Stipulated Judgment for good and  
20 valuable consideration, the adequacy of which is hereby acknowledged.

21 **II. DEFINITIONS**

22 **A.** As used in this Stipulated Judgment and the attached Exhibits, which are an integral part  
23 of the Stipulated Judgment and are incorporated in their entirety by reference, the following terms have  
24 the meanings specified below:

25 **1.** “**Action**” means *Kelsey Brust, et al. v. Regents of the University of California, et*  
26 *al.*, Case No. 2:07-CV-01488-FCD-EFB (E.D. Cal.).

27 **2.** “**Class**” means: All present, prospective, and future women students at the  
28

1 University of California at Davis who seek to participate in and/or who are deterred from participating in  
2 intercollegiate athletics at the University of California at Davis during the Compliance Period.

3           **3. “Class Counsel” or “Plaintiffs’ Counsel”** means Equal Rights Advocates, The  
4 Sturdevant Law Firm, and Equity Legal.

5           **4. “Class Member”** means a person who falls within the Class (as defined above).

6           **5. “Notice”** means the notice provided to the Class, the proposed form of which is  
7 attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8           **6. “Compliance Period”** means the period from the Effective Date of this Stipulated  
9 Judgment until the termination of this Stipulated Judgment at the end of the 2019-2020 academic year.

10           **7. “Defendant”** means The Regents of the University of California (“Regents” or  
11 “Defendant”).

12           **8. “Defendant’s Counsel”** means Porter Scott, PC.

13           **9. “Enrollment Numbers”** means the total number of full-time undergraduate  
14 students enrolled at the University of California at Davis, broken down by gender.

15           **10. “Effective Date”** means the date when each and all of the following conditions  
16 have occurred:

17                   a. This Stipulated Judgment has been signed by Plaintiffs, Defendant,  
18 Plaintiffs’ Counsel, Defendant’s Counsel;

19                   b. The Court has approved notice to the Class and notice has been issued;

20                   c. The Court has both preliminarily and finally approved the Stipulated  
21 Judgment;

22                   d. The Court has entered the Stipulated Judgment and Order.

23           **11. “Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act Report (‘EADA Report’)**” means the  
24 annual report submitted by UCD to the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to its obligations under  
25 the Equity in Athletics Disclosure Act of 1994, 20 U.S.C. § 1092. The EADA Report includes data on  
26 enrollment, varsity athletic participation, staffing, and revenues and expenses, broken down by gender.

27           **12. “Female Participation Differential”** means: The difference between the  
28

1 percentage of full-time female undergraduate enrollment and the percentage of female intercollegiate  
2 participation opportunities, as based on enrollment and participation numbers set forth in the EADA  
3 Report issued in the calendar year in question.

4 **13. “NCAA Division I average squad size”** means the average number of  
5 participants on a Division I level squad, broken down by gender, as determined by the statistical  
6 information compiled by the NCAA regarding participation in intercollegiate athletics at its member  
7 institutions and reported yearly.

8 **14. “Parties”** means and refers to Plaintiffs and Defendant.

9 **15. “Selection Process”** means and refers to the process employed by UCD, upon  
10 determination that UCD will add a varsity intercollegiate athletic team, to determine which varsity team  
11 to add. The process includes, but is not limited to: the creation of a Sports Selection Advisory  
12 Committee, the distribution and publication of UCD’s intent to add a varsity team and the criteria  
13 relating to the addition of a varsity team, the review of applications by the Sports Selection Advisory  
14 Committee, and the review of the recommendation of the Sports Selection Advisory Committee by the  
15 Title IX Advisory Committee.

16 **16. “Title IX Compliance Officer”** means an employee of UCD who is qualified and  
17 appointed to serve as the individual responsible for ensuring compliance with Equal Athletic  
18 Participation Opportunity requirements of Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. §  
19 1681 *et seq.* (“Title IX”), which prohibits sex discrimination in educational institutions receiving federal  
20 financial assistance.

21 **17. “Title IX Compliance Report”** means the annual report prepared by the Chair of  
22 the Title IX Advisory Committee and the annual report prepared by the Title IX Compliance Officer  
23 regarding the UCD’s compliance with Title IX in regard to athletics.

24 **III. SETTLEMENT RELIEF**

25 **A. Equitable Relief**

26 The Parties hereby agree that, conditioned upon entry of the Stipulated Judgment and  
27 Order by the District Court, Defendant shall do the following:

1                   **1.     Addition of Women’s Varsity Teams**

2                   a.     UCD shall continue implementation of the addition of a women’s varsity  
3                   field hockey team to commence competition in the 2009-2010 academic  
4                   year. UCD’s support of women’s varsity field hockey shall include:

5                   i     UCD shall provide sufficient funding to the women’s varsity field  
6                   hockey team to ensure recruitment, competition, development, and  
7                   with the understanding that scholarships are phased in under  
8                   standard UCD practice with respect to all new varsity teams. UCD  
9                   shall ensure equitable treatment of the team as required by law.

10                  ii    In May, 2009 UCD provided campus-wide notice of open tryouts  
11                  for the women’s field hockey team (including publication in the  
12                  campus newspaper, posting at club sports office and distribution of  
13                  notice to field hockey club team). As a result of these tryouts and  
14                  recruitment, a roster for the women’s field hockey team has been  
15                  created and the team is scheduled to start competition in August,  
16                  2009.

17                  iii   UCD shall maintain the women’s field hockey club team so long  
18                  as there is continued sufficient student interest.

19                  iv   This Stipulated Judgment does not give the field hockey club team  
20                  precedential preferential status in regard to funding or other  
21                  aspects over other club sports.

22                  b.   Any varsity team added pursuant to, or throughout the duration of, this Stipulated  
23                  Judgment shall receive UCD support set forth under Section III.A.1.a., specific to  
24                  the team added.

25                  i     The Selection Process for the addition of any future varsity team  
26                  added pursuant to, or throughout the duration of, this Stipulated  
27                  Judgment shall include, at least, the following steps: UCD shall  
28

1 notify female undergraduates, including but not limited to those  
2 who are participating in club sports, of the opportunity to learn  
3 about the Selection Process.

- 4 ii A student will remain on the Sports Selection Advisory  
5 Committee.
- 6 iii UCD shall advise any individual or team seeking varsity status of  
7 the existence of prior applications submitted by that team, if any,  
8 for that sport and provide a copy of the prior applications upon  
9 request.
- 10 iv UCD shall provide the criteria to applicants and will follow the  
11 provided criteria in selecting a team.
- 12 v If UCD uses different criteria as the basis for the Selection Process  
13 than it is currently using, at any time throughout the duration of  
14 this Stipulated Judgment, it shall provide a copy of the changed  
15 criteria to Plaintiffs' Counsel.

16 **2. Squad Sizes of Women's Varsity Teams**

- 17 a. If any UCD women's varsity team has a squad size which is over 15% of  
18 the NCAA Division I average squad size (as reported by the NCAA for  
19 the previous year from the year in question), UCD's Title IX Compliance  
20 Officer shall investigate the size of that team and include his/her  
21 conclusions from the investigation in the Title IX Compliance Report.  
22 The Title IX Compliance Report should also include the roster sizes/actual  
23 number for any team which is over 15% of the NCAA Division I average  
24 squad size. The Title IX Compliance Officer may consider alternative  
25 squad size models in his/her investigation.
- 26 b. The coaches of women's teams at UCD will not be required to carry a  
27 minimum number of participants on a team, aside from NCAA  
28

1 requirements.

2 **3. Female Participation Differential**

3 a. The Female Participation Differential for the academic year 2009/2010  
4 shall be no more than 3.5%, as reflected in the EADA Report issued in the  
5 Fall of 2010.

6 b. The Female Participation Differential for the academic year 2010/2011  
7 shall be no more than 2.5% as reflected in the EADA Report issued in the  
8 Fall of 2011. If UCD does not meet this threshold, it shall decide whether  
9 to add a new women's varsity team to compete in academic year  
10 2013/2014, or for the EADA Report issued in the Fall of 2012 to reflect  
11 2.5% Female Participation Differential

12 c. The Female Participation Differential for the academic year 2013/2014  
13 shall be no more than 1.5% as reflected in the EADA Report issued in the  
14 Fall of 2014, as long as the percentage of women reflected in the  
15 Enrollment Numbers on November 15, 2014 is less than 58%. If the  
16 percentage of undergraduate women enrolled on November 15, 2014 is  
17 58% or higher, then the Female Participation Differential shall be no more  
18 than 2.0%. If UCD does not meet either threshold, it shall decide whether  
19 to add a new women's varsity team to compete by academic year 2016-  
20 2017, or for the EADA Report issued in the Fall of 2015 to reflect a  
21 Female Participation Differential of no more than 1.5% or 2%, depending  
22 on which threshold, as described above, applies.

23 d. The Female Participation Differential for the academic year 2016/2017  
24 shall be no more than 1.5% as reflected in the EADA Report issued in the  
25 Fall of 2017. If UCD does not meet this threshold, it shall decide whether  
26 to add a new women's varsity team to compete by academic year  
27 2019/2020 or for the EADA Report issued in the Fall of 2018 to reflect  
28

1.5% Female Participation Differential.

- 2 e. Plaintiffs' purpose in agreeing to the time frames set forth in this section is  
3 to maximize the potential to add women's participation opportunities. In  
4 the event of any cuts to any men's varsity teams, UCD will not reference  
5 this lawsuit, Plaintiffs, or Plaintiffs' Counsel in conjunction with the  
6 elimination.

7 **4. Fund to Support Athlete Development**

- 8 a. As part of the settlement of the injunctive relief claim, Defendant shall pay  
9 \$110,000 as a fund ("Fund") to a non-profit organization to be chosen by  
10 Plaintiffs.
- 11 b. The \$110,000 sum shall be paid in care of the chosen non-profit  
12 organization within 30 days of the Effective Date.
- 13 c. The Fund shall be used solely to support club sports at UCD. Subject to  
14 that limitation, Plaintiffs shall have the sole discretion to determine how  
15 the Fund will be expended. Plaintiffs have exercised that discretion and  
16 determined that the Fund will be used for the development of women  
17 athletes through the UCD club sports programs.
- 18 d. Distribution of monies from the Fund shall be pursuant to a process  
19 determined by Plaintiffs in conjunction with the non-profit organization.
- 20 e. To the extent there is any administrative fee or other expense associated  
21 with the distribution of the Fund, such fee or expense shall be paid out of  
22 the Fund.
- 23 f. Monies distributed from the Fund are in addition to any monies otherwise  
24 allocated to the club sports teams by UCD and may not be used as a basis  
25 for denying budget requests or otherwise be determinative of club sports  
26 teams budgets.

27 **5. Reporting Requirements**

- 1 a. UCD shall report the following information to Plaintiffs' Counsel on the  
2 dates set forth below during the Compliance Period:
- 3 i UCD's Title IX Compliance Report annually, by September 1<sup>st</sup>,  
4 through the 2019/2020 academic year.
- 5 ii UCD's full-time Enrollment Numbers as of November 15, 2014,  
6 by December 1, 2014.
- 7 iii UCD will inform Plaintiffs' Counsel of which option it chooses  
8 under Sections III.3.b., c. and d. above by January 30<sup>th</sup> of each year  
9 specified by this agreement.
- 10 iv A copy of the notification to students that a women's varsity team  
11 selection process has begun and the announcement of the result of  
12 the process in whatever form is used to publicly announce the  
13 same information on campus, at the same time it is disseminated  
14 on campus.
- 15 v UCD's EADA reports, annually by December 1<sup>st</sup>, through the  
16 2019/2020 academic year.

17 **B. Monetary Relief**

18 **1. Class Representatives**

19 Within 30 days of the Effective Date, Defendant shall pay the sum of \$8,000 to Plaintiff Brust,  
20 \$8,000 to Plaintiff Bulala, and \$8,000 to Plaintiff Ludwig.

21 **2. Attorneys' Fees and Expenses**

22 The Parties have agreed to settle Plaintiffs' claim for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs for the  
23 sum of \$460,000. Plaintiffs will seek approval of the fees and costs in this amount through a motion  
24 filed with the Court. Defendant will not oppose the motion for attorneys' fees and costs. Upon approval  
25 by the Court, Defendant shall pay to Plaintiffs' Counsel the sum of \$460,000, representing costs and  
26 attorneys' fees incurred in the prosecution of this case. Except as specified herein, the Parties shall bear  
27 their own costs and fees. The attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses provided for under this Section shall  
28

1 be paid in lieu of any applicable fee-shifting statute.

2 Attorneys' fees and expenses will be paid by Defendant within 30 days of the Effective Date.

3 **IV. CLASS NOTICE**

4 UCD shall provide Notice of the proposed settlement to class members via a posting on the  
5 portal for the e-mail system used by University students ("MyUCDavis") and through publication in the  
6 Davis Enterprise and Aggie newspapers. UCD shall be responsible for all costs relating to notice. The  
7 Notice shall be substantially similar to the form attached hereto as Exhibit A. Notice will be effected  
8 after the Court's preliminary approval of this Stipulated Judgment at a time mutually agreed upon by the  
9 Parties.

10 **V. PRESS RELEASE**

11 The Parties have issued a joint press release about the settlement, containing mutually agreeable  
12 language.

13 **V. RESOLUTION OF CLAIMS**

14 **A. Resolution of Claims by the Class**

15 The terms set forth above resolve all of Plaintiffs' class-based claims covered by this Stipulated  
16 Judgment. This Stipulated Judgment resolves all class member claims for injunctive relief based upon  
17 the same predicate facts asserted in the Complaint until expiration of the Court's jurisdiction through the  
18 end of the academic year 2019-2020. Class members do not release any individual claims for damages.  
19 Such claims shall be dismissed from this case without prejudice.

20 **B. Releases by the Class Representatives**

21 Plaintiffs Brust, Bulala, and Ludwig shall be deemed to release and shall have released  
22 Defendant, Chancellor Vanderhoef and Mr. Warzecka from any and all of Plaintiffs' individual claims  
23 for damages and for injunctive or declaratory relief that are the subject of, included within, and/or arise  
24 from this lawsuit, including all claims, liabilities, obligations, demands, actions, and claims under Title  
25 IX, 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("§ 1983"), the Unruh Civil Rights Act, and California public policy. As a  
26 condition of settlement Plaintiffs Brust, Bulala and Ludwig shall execute a full release in favor of  
27 Defendant, Chancellor Vanderhoef and Mr. Warzecka for all claims referenced above.

1           **C.     *Mansourian, et al. v. Regents of the University of California***

2           Settlement of this action does not, in any way, limit or preclude Plaintiffs in the *Mansourian*  
3 action from pursuing any claims for monetary damages they may have against the Regents or any of the  
4 individual defendants in the matter of *Mansourian, et al. v. Regents of the University of California*, No.  
5 S-03-2591-FCD-EFB (E.D. Cal.).

6 **VI.    RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES**

7           In the case of any disputes arising out of or related to any alleged failure to perform in  
8 accordance with the terms of this Stipulated Judgment, the Parties agree to meet and confer in good faith  
9 to resolve any such dispute. Should a dispute as to any of the terms of the Stipulated Judgment arise, the  
10 aggrieved party shall provide the other party notice, and the responding party shall have 30 days to  
11 respond before the aggrieved party files any motion with the Court.

12 **VII.   JURISDICTION**

13           **A.     Continuing Jurisdiction**

14           The Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over this lawsuit for the length of the  
15 Compliance Period, until the end of academic year 2019-2020, for the purpose of overseeing and  
16 enforcing the terms herein.

17 **VIII.  SCOPE AND ENFORCEABILITY OF THE STIPULATED JUDGMENT**

18           **A.     Modification and Termination**

19           **1.**     The terms and provisions of this Stipulated Judgment may be amended, modified  
20 or expanded only by written Stipulated Judgment of the Parties and their respective attorneys.

21           **2.**     If, for any reason, this Stipulated Judgment fails to become effective, the Parties  
22 will be returned to their positions status quo ante with respect to the Action as if this Stipulated  
23 Judgment had never been entered into.

24           **3.**     To the extent that the Court makes immaterial changes to the terms of the  
25 Stipulated Judgment and/or related documentation, the Parties shall nonetheless be bound to proceed.  
26 To the extent that the Court makes material changes, each of the Parties shall have the right to withdraw  
27 from this Stipulated Judgment. In such event, the Parties will be returned to their positions status quo  
28

1 ante as if this Stipulated Judgment had not been entered into.

2 **B. Authority to Bind**

3 The undersigned each represent and warrants that they are authorized to sign on behalf of, and to  
4 bind, Plaintiffs and Defendant, including that this Stipulated Judgment has been approved by the  
5 Regents of the University of California.

6 **C. Cooperation**

7 The Parties agree to cooperate and execute any documents or take any action to effectuate this  
8 Stipulated Judgment in a timely and expeditious manner. The Parties agree to cooperate in obtaining  
9 Court approval of the Stipulated Judgment and complying with the provisions herein in a timely and  
10 expeditious manner.

11 **D. Independent Advice of Counsel**

12 The Parties represent and declare that in executing the Stipulated Judgment they relied solely  
13 upon their own judgment, belief and knowledge, and the advice and recommendations of their own  
14 independently selected counsel, concerning the nature, extent and duration of their rights and claims,  
15 and that they have not been influenced to any extent whatsoever in executing the same by any  
16 representations or statements not expressly contained or referred to in the Stipulated Judgment.

17 **E. Sole Consideration**

18 The Parties agree that the consideration recited in the Stipulated Judgment is the sole and only  
19 consideration for the Stipulated Judgment and no representations, promises or inducements have been  
20 made by the Parties, other than the terms of the Stipulated Judgment.

21 **F. Counterparts**

22 The Stipulated Judgment may be executed in counterparts. Counterparts may be made by  
23 facsimile. When each Party has signed and delivered at least one such counterpart, each counterpart  
24 shall be deemed an original, and each counterpart taken together shall constitute one and the same  
25 Stipulated Judgment. The Stipulated Judgment shall be deemed duly executed, effective, and binding,  
26 upon the signing and delivery of the last counterpart by the Parties hereto.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SIGNATURES OF THE PARTIES**

DATED: 6/29/2009 Kelsey Brust  
Kelsey Brust

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jessica Bulala

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Laura Ludwig

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
The Regents of The University of California

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Its: \_\_\_\_\_

**APPROVED AS TO FORM**

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS:**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ EQUAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
NOREEN FARRELL

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ THE STURDEVANT LAW FIRM  
A Professional Corporation

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
MONIQUE OLIVIER

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ EQUITY LEGAL

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
KRISTEN GALLES

**SIGNATURES OF THE PARTIES**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kelsey Brust

DATED: 6/29/09

Jessica Bulala  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jessica Bulala

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Laura Ludwig

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

The Regents of The University of California

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Its: \_\_\_\_\_

**APPROVED AS TO FORM**

***ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS:***

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

EQUAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
NOREEN FARRELL

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

THE STURDEVANT LAW FIRM  
A Professional Corporation

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
MONIQUE OLIVIER

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

EQUITY LEGAL

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
KRISTEN GALLES

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SIGNATURES OF THE PARTIES**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ Kelsey Brust

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ Jessica Bulala

DATED: 6/26/2009 *Laura Ludwig*  
Laura Ludwig

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ The Regents of The University of California  
By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Its: \_\_\_\_\_

**APPROVED AS TO FORM**

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS:**

DATED: 6/29/2009 EQUAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES  
By: *Norben Farrell*  
NORBEN FARRELL

DATED: 6/30/09 THE STURDEVANT LAW FIRM  
A Professional Corporation  
By: *Monique Olivier*  
MONIQUE OLIVIER

DATED: 6/29/2009 EQUITY LEGAL  
By: *Kristen Galles*  
KRISTEN GALLES

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SIGNATURES OF THE PARTIES**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Kelsey Brust

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jessica Bulala

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_  
Laura Ludwig

DATED: 6/29/09 \_\_\_\_\_  
The Regents of The University of California

By: 

Its: Principal Litigation Counsel

**APPROVED AS TO FORM**

*ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS:*

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ EQUAL RIGHTS ADVOCATES

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
NOREEN FARRELL

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ THE STURDEVANT LAW FIRM  
A Professional Corporation

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
MONIQUE OLIVIER

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ EQUITY LEGAL

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
KRISTEN GALLES

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT:

DATED: 6/29/09

PORTER SCOTT, P.C.

By:   
NANCY SHEEHAN

**JUDGMENT AND ORDER**

IT IS SO ADJUDGED AND ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Exhibit A to the  
Stipulated Judgment and Order**

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION**

*TO: All present, prospective, and future women students at University of California at Davis who seek to participate in and/or who are deterred from participating in intercollegiate athletics at University of California at Davis.*

**THIS NOTICE AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS.  
PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY.**

A class action settlement, which must be approved by the Court, has been reached in connection with a lawsuit against the Regents of the University of California ("University") alleging that the University of California at Davis ("UCD") has not been providing equal athletic opportunities to females as required by Title IX, a federal anti-discrimination law.

The Court has preliminarily approved the settlement and authorized this Notice. A copy of the Stipulated Judgment, which outlines the terms of the settlement, is available at: <http://www.sturdevantlaw.com/Cases.php?Case=24> and/or [www.equalrights.org](http://www.equalrights.org). It can also be obtained at the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court in Sacramento, at the address listed below.

As a class member, you may do one of two things: (1) if the settlement is satisfactory, you may do nothing and be bound by the terms and conditions of the settlement; or (2) if you object to the settlement, including the provision regarding attorneys' fees, you may submit written objections to the Court and to counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class ("Class Counsel"). Class Counsel are Equal Rights Advocates, The Sturdevant Law Firm, and Equity Legal. You may also submit comments in favor of the settlement. If you wish to object or comment, you must submit your written objections or comments to the Court Clerk and Class Counsel at the following addresses:

Clerk of the United States District Court  
Eastern District of California  
501 I Street, Suite 4-200  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Attention: *Brust v. Regents of the University of  
California*, Case No. 2:07-CV-01488

Brust Settlement  
The Sturdevant Law Firm  
354 Pine Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Objections or comments must be postmarked or, if not delivered by U.S. mail, file-stamped by the Court by no later than September 29, 2009. **Do not telephone the Court.**

A hearing before the Honorable Frank C. Damrell, Jr., United States District Judge, on the fairness of this settlement shall be held at the United States District Court, at the above address, on October 16, 2009 at 10:00 a.m. As a class member, you have the right to attend and be heard at this hearing. The settlement is not binding on class members until it is finally approved by the Court.

For more information about the settlement, you may contact The Sturdevant Law Firm at (415) 477-2410 or Equal Rights Advocates at (415) 621-0672.

## **SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT**

The proposed settlement is the result of an agreement reached by all parties to the lawsuit that was filed in 2007 by then UCD students Kelsey Brust, Jessica Bulala and Laura Ludwig on behalf of female students at UCD. The proposed settlement sets forth terms to help ensure that female students will have an equal opportunity to participate in varsity athletics at UCD, including the steps UCD will take to provide equal varsity opportunities to women at UCD, which may include the addition of women's varsity teams. The proposed settlement also creates a fund which will support the development of club sports at UCD.

The University has expressly denied and continues to deny all claims of wrongdoing or liability arising out of any of the conduct alleged in the lawsuit. The Court has not ruled on the merits of Plaintiffs' claims and does not provide herein an opinion on the claims. Nothing in this Notice is to be construed as an expression of any view or opinion by the Court concerning any of the claims, allegations, denials or defenses in the lawsuit.

### **EQUITABLE RELIEF**

#### ***1. Female Participation Differential***

Providing equal opportunity for participation in athletics is measured by reviewing the Female Participation Differential, which is the difference between the percentage of full-time female undergraduate enrollment and the percentage of female intercollegiate participation opportunities. In other words, if 55% of the students enrolled are female and 50% of the students participating in varsity athletics are female, then the Female Participation Differential would be 5%.

UCD has agreed to take the following steps in order to reduce the Female Participation Differential:

- By the academic year 2009-2010, the Female Participation Differential will be no more than 3.5%.
- By the academic year 2010-2011, the Female Participation Differential will be no more than 2.5%. If UCD does not meet this threshold, it will either 1) add a new women's varsity team to compete in academic year 2013-2014; or 2) achieve a Female Participation Differential of 2.5% by the academic year 2011-2012.
- By the academic year 2013-2014, the Female Participation Differential will be no more than 1.5%, as long as the percentage of females enrolled at UCD on November 15, 2014 is less than 58% of the total population. If the percentage of females enrolled is 58%, or higher, than the Female Participation Differential will be no more than 2.0%. If UCD does not meet this threshold, it will either 1) add a new women's varsity team to compete in academic year 2016-2017; or 2) achieve a Female Participation Differential of 1.5% or 2%, depending on which threshold, as described above, applies by the academic year 2014-2015.
- By the academic year 2016-2017, the Female Participation Differential will be no more than 1.5%. If UCD does not meet this threshold, it will either 1) add a new

women's varsity team to compete in academic year 2019-2020; or 2) achieve a Female Participation Differential of 1.5% by the academic year 2017-2018.

Plaintiffs' purpose in agreeing to the time frames set forth in this section is to maximize the potential to add women's participation opportunities.

## ***2. Fund to Support Female Athlete Development***

As part of the settlement, the University will pay \$110,000 as a fund to a non-profit organization to be chosen by Plaintiffs. The Fund shall be used solely to support club sports at UCD. Subject to that limitation, Plaintiffs have the sole discretion to determine how the Fund will be expended. Plaintiffs have exercised that discretion and determined that the Fund will be used for the development of women athletes through the UCD club sports programs.

Distribution of monies from the Fund will be pursuant to a process determined by Plaintiffs in conjunction with the non-profit organization. Any administrative fees or costs required to administer the Fund, will be paid out of the fund. Monies distributed from the Fund are in addition to any monies otherwise allocated to the club sports teams by UCD and may not be used as a basis for denying budget requests or otherwise be determinative of club sports teams budgets.

## ***3. Addition of Women's Varsity Teams***

UCD will continue to implement the addition of a women's varsity field hockey team to commence competition in the 2009-2010 academic year. UCD will support the addition of field hockey by providing sufficient funding to the women's varsity field hockey team to ensure recruitment, competition, development, and with the understanding that scholarships are phased in under standard UCD practice with respect to all new varsity teams. UCD will also ensure equitable treatment of the team, as required by law.

UCD will also continue to support a women's field hockey club team, as long as there is continued sufficient student interest. The field hockey club team will not have preferential status in regard to funding or other aspects over other club sports.

If any female varsity team is added to UCD pursuant to, or during the settlement period, that team will also receive the support described herein for the varsity field hockey team.

## ***4. Selection Process for Addition of Women's Varsity Teams***

If UCD adds any additional female varsity teams pursuant to or during the settlement period, the selection process for choosing the female varsity team to be added will consist of, at least, the following steps:

- UCD shall notify female undergraduates, including but not limited to those who are participating in club sports, of the opportunity to learn about the Selection Process.
- A student will remain on the Sports Selection Advisory Committee.

- UCD shall advise any individual or team seeking varsity status of the existence of prior applications submitted by that team, if any, for that sport, and provide a copy of the prior applications upon request.
- UCD will provide the criteria to applicants and will follow the provided criteria in selecting a team.
- If UCD uses different criteria as the basis for the Selection Process than it is currently using, at any time throughout the duration of the settlement period, it will inform Class Counsel.

**5. *Squad Sizes of Women's Varsity Teams***

If any UCD women's varsity team has a squad size which is 15% over the NCAA Division I average squad size reported that year for that sport, UCD's Title IX Compliance Officer will investigate the size of that team and report conclusions in his/her annual Title IX Compliance Report. The Title IX Compliance Officer may consider alternative squad size models in his/her investigation. UCD will not require any coach to carry a minimum number of participants on a team, aside from NCAA requirements.

**MONITORING OF SETTLEMENT**

The Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction over this lawsuit for the length of the settlement period, which is until the end of academic year 2019-2020, for the purpose of overseeing and enforcing the terms of the settlement. The parties have agreed that UCD will provide information and reports to Class Counsel as more fully set forth in the Stipulated Judgment.

**INDIVIDUAL DAMAGES AND ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES**

Subject to Court approval, the Regents will pay \$8,000 to Plaintiffs Kelsey Brust, Laura Ludwig and Jessica Bulala. Subject to Court approval, the University has also agreed to pay reasonable attorney's fees and costs to Class Counsel as part of the settlement. The amount agreed upon is \$460,000.

**RELEASES BY THE CLASS**

The terms set forth above resolve all of Plaintiffs' class-based claims covered by the Stipulated Judgment. The Stipulated Judgment resolves all class member claims for injunctive relief based upon the same predicate facts asserted in the Complaint until expiration of the Court's jurisdiction through the end of the academic year 2019-2020. Class members do not release any individual claims for damages.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

The Honorable Frank C. Damrell, Jr.  
United States District Court